



St. James Avenue Shared-Use Path & Crossing Addendum # 2

Date: June 2, 2026

Bid Date: June 10, 2026

Questions:

1. Do we want to see a full team? *See requested information for Section 3.1.1, bullet one and 3.1.4.*
2. Can we provide a list of SDMWBE firms currently registered with the City of Goose Creek? *The City does not maintain a registry of firms like SCDOT but encourages use of these firms.*
3. Should firms submit an electronic copy via email instead of USB? *The city does not have a preference; however, we are not responsible for emails not received.*
4. If submitting an electronic copy via email, what is the max file size for emailing the city? *20 MB You can also submit the file through wormhole, however again we are not responsible for files not received.*
5. Will the City allow a smaller, but clearly legible font size for graphics, charts, and tables? *A smaller font for graphics, charts and tables is allowed provided they are legible.*
6. Will the City allow for a small legible font for the SF-330 Forms? *Yes, provided the information is still large enough to be legible.*
7. Have any previous environmental field investigations or studies been conducted within the existing right-of-way (ROW) along St. James Avenue, including but not limited to wetlands/waters of the U.S. delineations, cultural resources investigations, threatened and endangered species habitat assessments, or other environmental surveys? If so, what were the dates of the surveys or investigations? *The City is not aware of any having been done in the recent past.*
8. Have any stakeholder engagement or public outreach activities been conducted for the project to date? *Limited engagement of the public was done with the Connectivity plan, a summary of which is within said plan, a link to which is within the RFQ. If so, is a stakeholder engagement/public involvement plan currently in place? Stakeholder engagement and a public involvement plan will be scoped with the selected consultant/consulting team.*

9. In addition to the 2021 Connectivity Master Plan, has the City completed any additional corridor studies, conceptual layouts, traffic evaluations, or feasibility assessments that would help the design team better understand the project constraints? **The City completed the Goose Creek Micromobility Report, which can be found here: [https://www.goosecreeksc.gov/sites/default/files/Reports/\(UPDATED\)%20Goose%20Creek%20Micromobility%20Report.pdf](https://www.goosecreeksc.gov/sites/default/files/Reports/(UPDATED)%20Goose%20Creek%20Micromobility%20Report.pdf)**
10. Is the City's expectation that the project can generally remain within existing public ROW, or should the consultant anticipate potential temporary or permanent easement acquisition in select areas? **While temporary construction easements may be needed, the City expects for the project itself to be designed and constructed within the existing ROW as best possible.**
11. Is the proposed alignment planned to be within the existing overhead power line ROW? **No. The alignment is TBD**
12. Has the City identified any intersections or pedestrian crossing areas with heightened safety concerns, crash history, school-related activity, or other pedestrian mobility concerns that should receive special attention during design? **Some of these were discussed in the Connectivity Plan, more additional safety data will be made available to the selected consultant/consulting team.**
13. Does the City have established branding, gateway, or placemaking standards that should be incorporated into the corridor improvements? **This will be discussed during the scoping process.**
14. It is understood from the 2021 Connectivity Plan that the preliminary construction cost assumption for the project was approximately \$3,280,000 (roughly \$1M per mile). Has the City established an updated construction budget or identified funding limitations associated with the SS4A grant that the design team should be mindful of during project development? **The City has anticipated a higher budget for construction for the purposes of the grant application and will share that with the selected consultant/consultant team.**
15. What is the City's anticipated schedule for design completion, permitting, and construction advertisement? **At this time, the City anticipates a timeline of 18 between contracting for design, engineering and permitting services and the start of construction. Construction must be completed by mid-2030.**
16. Does the City anticipate the need for phased construction documents or phased implementation of the corridor improvements? **Not at this time.**