## ROADWAY CONNECTIVITY

A common challenge in designing successful transportation systems is to improve connectivity and access while also preserving natural features and the unique character of the many towns and diverse cultures of the people. The CHATS planning area is no exception. Neighborhoods and smaller communities within the area may have many needs and priorities that are unique from one another. While recognizing these differences, it is important to not lose focus of the practical concept of overall connectivity. This concept is particularly relevant as it relates to people's desires to make safe and efficient trips not only by driving but also by walking, bicycling, or using public transportation.
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# roadway connectivity 

Development patterns were historically shaped by the transportation modes available at the time. Historic Charles Town developed around the Cooper, Stono, Ashley and Wando Rivers because of the opportunity for easy movement of goods and people with a robust port access. As the port grew with shipping and industry, a network of roadways and eventually rail lines developed gradually over time. Residential areas also grew outward from the Peninsula and coast which placed a greater emphasis on regional mobility.

The CHATS planning area is challenged with connectivity of the roadway system with its 370 miles of linear waterfront and $30 \%$ of its land mass being covered by wetlands or floodplains, creating limitations for supporting healthy connectivity. Due to the physical limitations, creating an interconnected network of streets and highways is often impossible in certain subsections of the planning area. The existing network is challenged in providing efficient travel options to destinations across the rivers and to overland connections between different economic centers around the region such as Charleston, North Charleston, Summerville, Daniel Island, and Mt Pleasant.

Every opportunity to construct, protect and enhance the street system must be a priority. The Roadway Element of the CHATS 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan documents the proposed roadway recommendations within the planning area.

As residential, commercial, and industrial growth occurs within the region and more vehicles take to the road, roadway improvements are needed to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety. These roadway improvements often enhance access, thus raising land values and attracting more development. The circular diagram below illustrates this continuing cycle of influence between land use and transportation.

Often neighborhoods and activity centers rely on a smaller number of transportation corridors to provide essential links. Enhanced connectivity and access management must be a priority to protect key mobility corridors.
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Through a critical evaluation of public commentary and observation, it became evident that transportation issues within the CHATS planning area are divided between the problems within the region and those within each community. Within the communities, issues related to poor bicycle and pedestrian mobility and intersection safety treatments were most prevalent. However, at the regional level, concerns relative to lack of connectivity, poor access management and peak hour congestion were dominant. No one issue was more prevalent than the need to address regional peak hour congestion. In fact, the Texas Transportation Institute estimated in 2019 that commuters in the Charleston region lose 58 hours annually due to congestion, comparable to the national average of 54 lost hours.

To address the most common concerns brought up by the public - traffic congestion and safety, as well as better connections for biking, walking, transit, and automobiles-several over-arching strategies or themes are proposed. These strategies work much better when they are implemented together: each tends to reinforce the other in a "virtuous circle" of improvements.

Increase Capacity -
"Build the Road"
Additional capacity, through the construction of more lanes and/or more roads, may seem like the obvious solution to congestion. In isolation, such as the case with limited-access freeways and Interstates, this approach may make the most sense (although it is often prohibitively costly to implement). However, in real-world communities, the advantages of bigger roads must be weighed against needs beyond short-term congestion relief, as increased capacity is quickly filled in any growing region. Trade-offs can include right-ofway/private property acquisitions, disruption due to construction, damage to streams, and impacts to appearance and aesthetics. While this last category is less tangible, its impact is felt keenly in communities that have lost, or given away, a sense of place, economic vitality, and historic character in exchange for temporary traffic relief. Improvements should also consider other road users. Freight improvements (on major truck routes) should consider intersection design and pavement depth and width. Where appropriate, complete streets should be created to accommodate all modes of travel including pedestrians, cyclists, and transit patrons.

[^0]Savannah Highway in West Ashley. Source: Post and Courier

Within the past decade, there has been a national rise in interest for creating "complete streets" within existing roadway networks. A complete street, as defined by the National Complete Streets Coalition, enables all users inclusive of pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists and transit riders of all ages and abilities to safely move along and cross a street. Primarily, roadways with lower speeds and greater access points (local streets and collectors) provide opportunities for developing complete streets; however, all functional classifications are eligible for some combination of multi-modal users, even if only for motorists and regional transit (expressways and freeways). Promoting connectivity through street extensions, streetscaping, and multi-modal, safer intersection and street design will continue to improve on critical options to offer relief (and health) to more people in the CHATS planning area.

A bonus is that more people and businesses are favoring "walkable" communities - as are older
populations. During the needs assessment and recommendations portion of this study, multi-modal options and opportunities for complete streets were explored and included within the CHATS planning area.

## Manage Access - "Preserving <br> Precious Road Capacity"

Regardless of how many new roads are built, the benefits don't last long if access to them isn't managed. Driveway spacing standards, left-turn controls (e.g., with medians), and cross-access requirements are key elements of an access management policy. Generally, no roadway should be constructed without strong access management controls in place. This practice can, if implemented consistently and fairly, preserve accessibility to existing businesses, accommodate traffic from future businesses, and grow fiscal revenues without incurring downstream costs for major roadway improvements.


Policy and Land Use Integration "Controlling the Demand side of the Equation"

Ultimately, congestion compromises any roadway if more development and travelers are added to the network than it can handle. Land use and development should respond to known constraints and help pay for future improvements. This practice of balancing infrastructure capacity with the development types and quantities that create demand is called concurrency planning. Across many planning initiatives public feedback has requested measures along these lines, often citing the need to slow or halt development until the infrastructure can "catch up" with the existing or future needs.

Balance between economic development and traffic can be challenging, but is crucial to the vitality of a community. In fact, places with traffic congestion are often successful economically. Ghost towns in the midwest have no traffic, but not much else either. Land should be developed in a way that is reasonable in the context of other existing uses and roadway capacity. Local plans and ordinances should support development where appropriate, while ensuring new development contributes to infrastructure when needed. A well-planned community can be "development friendly" while respecting the needs of existing residents and businesses.

Creating a permanent dialogue with the public is the best way to continuously "plan-do-check-act" the roles, strategies, and effectiveness of landtransportation partnerships. Part of that dialogue
 Washington Beech Housing Development (above) is a Hope XI project in Boston features 206 affordable units and a half acre park. The Milwaukee Reed Street Yards development (left) is a recent example of an eco-industrial neighborhood that manages water more efficiently and integrates low impact development and stormwater BMPs.

LRTP: Long Range Transportation Plan


# freight recommendations 

The 2040 CHATS LRTP called for development of a comprehensive freight plan (or expanded LRTP chapter) as the number one priority for freight transportation in the region. The BCD Regional Freight Plan document prepared in 2021 provides a comprehensive listing of recommendations which can be categorized by a mix of project, policy and programmatic initiatives. In total there are 35 project recommendations, 21 policy recommendations, and 13 program recommendations.

## Project Recommendations

Major freight project recommendations seek to improve the safety and performance of the freight network through a mix of approaches from infrastructure improvements such design upgrades to intersections and interchanges or urban design considerations to better accommodate freight, roadway realignments, implementation of corridor signal optimization to improve corridor mobility, safety improvements, technology solutions and future studies.

In total there are 35 projects falling under eight general categories:


## Some near- and mid-term project recommendations include:

1. Development of corridor and/or sub-area land use studies for major freight corridors like Ashley Phosphate Rd, Dorchester Rd, Remount Rd, SC-165/Givhans Rd and sites such as the Ridgeville Industrial area, Clements Ferry Rd sub-area, Airport District sub-area, and Downtown Charleston sub-area
2. Develop or update design criteria or guidelines to include freight accommodations or considerations in site designs such as Park \& Ride facilities, and complete streets implementation
3. Intersection or interchange improvements at Dorchester Rd and Cosgrove Ave, N. Rhett and Yeamans Hall Rd, and I-526 and Paul Cantrell Blvd
4. Corridor improvements along Ashley Phosphate Rd, Morrison Ave, and Sam Rittenburg Blvd
5. Smart Technology Corridor studies for I-26 and I-526

Policy and Programmatic Recommendations: Policies and programs are not one-time infrastructure improvements like recommended projects or standalone studies, but rather address broader, systemic courses of action. Major policy recommendations identified through the planning process include items such as:

1. Adoption of Urban Design Criteria Policy for Roadways on the Freight Network
2. Retirement of Aging Heavy-Duty Vehicles and Rail Equipment
3. Inspection and Maintenance of Vehicles
4. Design Criteria Policy for all transportation improvements on the regional freight network
5. Staggering Shifts at Industrial Facilities and Promote Travel Demand Management Options for Employees
6. Integrating Truck Parking Requirements in Land Use Plans
7. Collaborating with Local Jurisdictions to Adopt Access Management Policies
8. Identify Vacant Properties or Underutilized Sites for Truck Parking
9. Assess Mode Shift Options
10. Close Highway Rail Crossings
11. Assess Areas for Quiet Zone Designations
12. Identify Trespassing Hot Spots
13. Conduct Assessment of Areas Disproportionately Impacted by Rail Operations

Major program recommendations identified through the planning process include items such as:

1. Ramp Metering Pilot Program (I-26 at Ashley Phosphate Road)
2. Urban Delivery Pilot Program
3. Education Outreach
4. Implement Incident Management Performance Measure
5. Additions to SCDOT Rural Safety Program
6. Explore facilitation of regional smart parking and/or scheduling program
7. Identify local process for review and improvement of at-grade rail crossings
8. Prepare Urban Truck Platooning Pilot Project
9. Partner with SCDOT and ACOG for statewide truck parking communications system

# roadway projects 

Committed roadway improvement projects are identified as any roadway project located within the CHATS planning area that is under construction, completely programmed or partially funded. The committed roadway projects provided in Table 4-1 were considered to establish the baseline Existing plus Committed ( $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{C}$ ) condition, which was used to evaluate the new vision projects identified through the LRTP update process. The committed projects listed below have not been evaluated or ranked in this current planning process, but are retained in the LRTP 2045 update for informational purposes.

Table 4-1: Current committed roadway improvement projects Represents Mid-Block Capacity Enhancement and New Facility Projects Only

| ID | 范 | Roadway Name | Project <br> Category | Potential Laneage | Delimits | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { (1000s) } \end{array}$ | Funding Source(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B ERKELEY COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-01 |  | Clements Ferry Road (Phase 2) | Capacity Enhancement | 4-Lane Divided | Jack Primus Road to SC-41 | \$64,000 | Fed, TST |
| C-03a |  | Henry Brown Boulevard | Capacity Enhancement | 2-Lane Divided | Liberty Hall Road to Montague Plantation Road | \$23,000 | TST |
| C-03b |  | Montague Plantation Road | Capacity Enhancement | 4-Lane Divided | Henry Brown Boulevard to US-52 |  |  |
| C-24* |  | N. Cedar Street Extension | New Roadway | 2-Lane Undivided | W. 9th N. Street to Berkeley Circle | \$6,423 | Local, TST |
| C-04 |  | Interstate-26 | Capacity Enhancement | 6-Lane Divided | Ridgeville Road (SC-27) to Jedburg Road | \$320,000 | Fed, State, TST |
| C-05a |  | US-176 / State Road (Phase 1) | Capacity Enhancement | 4-Lane Divided | US-17A to Nexton Parkway | \$53,000 | TST |
| C-05b |  | US-176 / State Road (Phase <br> 2) | Capacity Enhancement | 4-Lane Divided | Nexton Parkway to Jedburg Road / Cooper Store Road | - NA - | TST |
| C-29 |  | Cypress Gardens Road | Capacity Enhancement | 2-Lane Divided | US-52 to Plantation Grove Boulevard | - NA - | - NA - |
| C-30 |  | Old Mount Holly Road | Capacity Enhancement | 4-Lane Divided | US-176 to US-52 | - NA - | - NA - |
| I-01 |  | Black Tom Road \& Black Tom Road Extension | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-02 |  | Cooper Store Road \& Black Tom Road Extension | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-03 |  | River Landing Drive \& Seven Farms Drive | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-04 |  | Royle Road \& Farmington Road / Treeland Drive | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-05 |  | Snake Road \& NAD / Goose <br> Creek Road | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-06 |  | Tanner Ford Boulevard \& Tanner Hall Boulevard | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-07 |  | US-52 / Rembert C. Dennis Boulevard \& Old Highway 52 | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-08 |  | US-52 \& Old Highway 52 / Old Fort Road | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |
| 1-09 |  | US-52 \& Stephanie Drive / Windsor Mill Road | Intx Improvement | - | - | - NA - | TST |

Table 4-1: Current committed roadway improvement projects (cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4-1: Current committed roadway improvement projects (cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4-1: Current committed roadway improvement projects (cont.)

| ID | 플 | Roadway Name | Project Category | Potential <br> Laneage | Delimits | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (1000s) } \end{aligned}$ | Funding Source(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-10 |  | Ladson Road \& Wallace Ackerman Drive | Intx Improvement | - | - | \$625 | TST |
| I-11 |  | US-17A / Main Street | Intx Improvement | - | US-78 to Carolina Avenue | \$30,892 | TST |
| -12 |  | US-15 (St. George) | Intx Improvement | - | Connelly Hayes Road to Cloverleaf Drive | \$15,829 | TST |
| I-13 |  | US-78 (St. George) | Intx Improvement | - | Winding Wood Road to Academy Road | \$12,827 | TST |
| I-14 |  | William Canady Road | Intx Improvement | - | - | \$2,127 | TST |
| I-15 |  | Various Locations (TBD) | Intx Improvement | - | - | \$50,000 | - NA - |

TST - Transportation Sales Tax; SIB - SC Transportation Infrastructure Bank; Intx - Intersection

* Project straddles county line


## Committed Transit Projects

The Lowcountry Rapid Transit (LCRT) project has identified funding from the most recently approved 2016 Charleston County half-cent transportation sales tax referendum. Revenue from this additional sales tax will fund various transportation related projects, including mass transit. In 2022 the LCRT project recieived approval from the FTA to enter into the New Starts Engineering phase of work of the FTA's Capital Investment Grants Program, and is thus indentified as the only committed transit project. Table 4-2 provides additional detail of the LCRT project including its estimated cost. The Public Transportation section of this plan provides more detailed information on the other candidate transit projects identified.

Table 4-2: Committed Transit Projects

| Project | Project Type/Mode | Estimated Cost | Limits |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Lowcountry Rapid Transit (LCRT) <br> Project | High Capacity Rapid <br> Transit (BRT) | $\$ 625,000,000$ | Bus Rapid Transit on US 78/US 52/Rivers Avenue <br> Corridor |



## Candidate Roadway Projects

The following maps highlight the candidate roadway enhancement and corridor study identified for evaluation for the CHATS planning area. These recommendations encompass adding capacity to existing facilities, building new roadway facilities, and corridor studies. Approximately 70 miles of capacity enhancements to existing facilities, 66 miles of new roadway facilities, and 25 miles of additional improvements and studies are included in the list of projects developed. The associated table provides additional detail of each project including its estimated planning level construction cost.


Table 4-3: Roadway Capacity Enhancement and Corridor Study Projects for Berkeley County

| ID | Location | Delimits | Potential <br> Lanege | Estimated <br> Cost (1000s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| L-05 | Clements Ferry Road | I-526 Interchange to St. Thomas Island Drive | 4 (D) | $\$ 3,420$ |
| L-06 | Old Highway 52 / Old Fort Road | US-52 to Cypress Gardens Road | 4 (D) | $\$ 77,192$ |
| L-07 | US-17A / North Main Street | I-26 Interchange to Berlin Myers Parkway (Eastbound <br> Only) | 4 (D) (EB) | $\$ 10,701$ |
| L-23 | College Park Road Extension | College Park Road to Nexton Parkway | 2 (U) | $\$ 26,640$ |
| L-24a | Frontage Road (Pseudonym) | Marymeade Drive to Frank Jones Road | 2 (U) | $\$ 26,484$ |
| L-24b | Bell Wright Road Extension | Bell Wright Road to Frontage Road | 2 (U) | $\$ \$ 452$ |
| L-25 | Henry Brown Boulevard Extension | Henry Brown Boulevard (Brick Park) to US-52 | 2 (U) | $\$ 29,634$ |
| L-26 | Black Tom Road | US-176 to US-17A | 4 (D) | $\$ 43,248$ |
| L-27 | Cane Bay Boulevard | Day Break Boulevard to Black Tom Road | 4 (D) | $\$ 11,405$ |
| L-28 | College Park Road | Crowfield Boulevard to I-26 Interchange | 6 (D) | $\$ 17,864$ |
| L-29a | Jedburg Road | Dorchester County Line to Business Park Road | 4 (D) | $\$ 5,830$ |
| L-29b | Jedburg Road | Drop 0ff Drive to US-176 | 4 (D) | $\$ 37,312$ |
| L-30 | Nexton Parkway | Nexton Elementary School to US-176 | 4 (D) | $\$ 20,897$ |
| L-31 | North Rhett Avenue | l-526 Interchange to Yeamans Hall Road | 6 (D) | $\$ 51,856$ |
| L-32 | Red Bank Road | Deke Giles Boulevard to Bushy Park Road | 4 (D) | $\$ 63,921$ |
| L-33 | Remount Road | Yeamans Hall Road to Rivers Avenue | 6 (D) | $\$ 10,359$ |
| L-34 | St. Thomas Island Drive | (D) | $\$ 3,762$ |  |
| L-35 | Wildgame Road | Clements Ferry Road to Harvest Time Place | (D) | $\$ 26,948$ |

[^1]
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Table 4-4: Roadway Capacity Enhancement and Corridor Study Projects for Charleston County

| ID | Location | Delimits | Potential Lanege | Estimated Cost (1000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-49a | SC-61 / Ashley River Road | Raoul Wallenberg Boulevard to Bees Ferry Road | 4 (D) | \$24,441 |
| L-49b | SC-61 / Ashley River Road | Bees Ferry Road to Charleston County Line | 4 (D) | \$65,675 |
| L-50 | Ashley Phosphate Road | Cross County Road to Rivers Avenue | 8/10 (D) | \$17,380 |
| L-91a | All-American Boulevard Extension (Phase 3) | Silent Harbor Court to Brickyard Parkway | 2 (D) | \$10,274 |
| L-91b | All-American Boulevard Extension (Phase 3) | Brickyard Parkway to SC-41 Service Road | 2 (U) | \$4,950 |
| L-92 | Ashley Phosphate Road Extension | Rivers Avenue to Railroad Avenue Extension | 6 (D) | \$9,902 |
| L-93 | Glenn MCConnell Pkwy Extension (Phase 1) | Bees Ferry Road to Charleston County Line | 4 (D) | \$368,777 |
| L-94 | Hagood Avenue Extension | Spring Street to Cannon Street | 3 (U) | \$2,275 |
| L-95 | Mall Drive / Centre Pointe Drive Extension | Centre Pointe Drive to Mall Drive | 4 (D) | \$12,618 |
| L-96 | Memorial Drive Extension | Memorial Drive to US-17 / Savannah Highway | 2 (U) | \$4,886 |
| L-97 | Michaux Parkway Extension | Dorchester Road to Ashley River Road | 4 (D) | \$57,801 |
| L-98 | Sandlapper Parkway Extension | Palmetto Commerce Parkway to Ashley Phosphate Road | 4 (D) | \$32,460 |
| L-99 | Sea Island Parkway/Greenway | River Road to Betsy Kerrison Parkway | 4 (D) | \$127,157 |
| L-100 | West Bridge Connector Road | SC-61 to Long Savannah Access Road | 2 (U) | \$6,832 |
| L-101 | Windsor Hill Parkway | Sandlapper Parkway Extn. to Dorchester Road | 4 (D) | \$49,357 |
| L-102a | Cross County Road | Dorchester Road to Hill Park Drive | 4 (D) | \$14,870 |
| L-102b | Cross County Road | Hill Park Drive to Ashley Phosphate Road | 4 (D) | \$8,148 |
| L-103a | Folly Beach Road | E. Indian Avenue to Little Oak Island Drive | 4 (D) | \$20,407 |
| L-103b | Folly Beach Road | Little Oak Island Drive to Bowens Island Road | 4 (D) | \$34,328 |
| L-104 | Folly Road | Maybank Highway to Johnson Road (Northbound Only) | 3 (D) (NB) | \$17,512 |
| L-105 | Folly Road | SC-30 Off-Ramp to Highland Avenue | 6 (D) | \$12,293 |
| L-106 | Harbor View Road | Harbor View Circle to North Shore Drive | 4 (D) | \$110,000 |
| L-107 | Mall Drive | Lacross Road to Rivers Avenue | 4 (D) | \$72,000 |
| L-108a | Maybank Highway | Bohicket Road to River Road | 4 (D) | \$126,000 |
| L-108b | Maybank Highway | River Road to Stono River Bridge | 4 (D) | \$51,550 |
| L-109 | Michaux Parkway | International Boulevard to Dorchester Road | 4 (D) | \$9,592 |
| L-110 | Montague Avenue | International Boulevard to I-26 Interchange | 6 (D) | \$12,293 |
| L-111 | US-17 | Northbound Mainline at Bowman Road Interchange | 3 (D) (NB) | \$47,850 |
| L-112a | US-17 / Ravenel Bridge Northbound Off-Ramp | US-17 \& Coleman Boulevard Split to Sessions Way | 3-Lane Ramp (NB) | \$4,640 |
| L-112b | US-17 / Ravenel Bridge Southbound Approach | Magrath Darby Boulevard to Wingo Way On-Ramp | 3 (D) (SB) | \$3,730 |
| L-137 | Long Point Road | US-17 to Whipple Road | 2 (U) | \$126,000 |
| L-138 | Rivers Avenue Overpass | Durant Avenue to Aragon Street | 4 (D) | \$76,500 |
| L-139 | South US-17 / Savannah Highway | SC-162 to Dobbin Road | 4 (D) | \$327,500 |
| L-140a | Ashley River Road | Bees Ferry Road to Paul Cantrell Boulevard | 2 (U) | \$144,000 |
| L-140b | Ashley River Road | Bees Ferry Road to West Bridge Road | 2 (U) | \$56,000 |
| L-141 | Meeting Street Overpass | At Milford Street | 4 (U) | \$65,000 |
| L-142 | Johnnie Dodds Blvd / US-17 | Houton Northcutt Boulevard to Bowman Road | 4 (U) | \$168,000 |

Map 4-3: Roadway Capacity Enhancement and Corridor Study Projects, Charleston County
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Table 4-5: Roadway Capacity Enhancement and Corridor Study Projects for Dorchester County

| ID | Location | Delimits | Potential <br> Lanege | Estimated <br> Cost (1000s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| L-116 | Ladson Road | US-78 to Dorchester Road | 6 (D) | $\$ 64,413$ |
| L-117 | US-78 / 5th Street | Berlin G. Myers Parkway to County Line (Benchmark <br> Drive) | 4 (D) | $\$ 74,549$ |
| L-127a | Glenn McConnell Pkwy Extension <br> (Phase 1) | Charleston County Line to US-17A | 4 (D) | $\$ 577,750$ |
| L-127b | Glenn McConnell Pkwy Extension <br> (Phase 2) | US-17A to Old Beech Hill Road | 4 (D) | $\$ 24,425$ |
| L-128 | North Gum Street Extension | E. 9th North Street to Marymeade Drive | 2 (U) | $\$ \$ 1,242$ |
| L-129 | Old Fort Drive Extension | Wallace Ackerman Drive to Palmetto Commerce Parkway | 2 (D) | $\$ 4,257$ |
| L-130 | Summers Corner Connector | Beech Hill Road to Dorchester Road | 2 (U) | $\$ 26,655$ |
| L-131 | Stallsville Loop | Bacons Bridge Road to Miles Jamison Road | 2 (D) | $\$ 4,917$ |
| L-132 | Beech Hill Road | US-17A to Delemar Highway | 4 (D) | $\$ 65,948$ |
| L-133 | Jedburg Road | US-78 to Berkeley County Line | 4 (D) | $\$ 13,448$ |
| L-134 | Orangeburg Road | Mallard Road to US-78 | 4 (D) | $\$ 19,543$ |
| L-135 | US-17A / Boone Hill Road | Luden Drive to Greenwave Boulevard | 2 (D) | $\$ 3,452$ |
| L-136 | US-17A / Walterboro Road | Long Savannah Access Road to Sandpit Drive | 4 (D) | $\$ 29,747$ |

[^2]

## Candidate Projects

Capacity Enhancement

- New Roadway
- Corridor Study Berkeley County Charleston County Dorchester County
$\square$ CHATS Planning Area

Candidate Roadway Access Management \& Intersection Improvement Projects The following maps highlight the proposed roadway access management and intersection improvement candidate projects identifed for evaluation in the CHATS planning area. There are roughly 74 miles of recommended access management projects and 62 intersections. All roadway recommendations were thoroughly vetted through the CHATS Study Team and BCDCOG staff. Of these identified projects, certain corridor segments and intersections were selected by staff members from BCDCOG in consultation with jurisdictions for further study through conceptual designs, shown in the Hot Spots and Corridors section. Further study may be required on corridors and intersections that were not selected for conceptual designs.

Map 4-5: Access Management and Intersection Improvement Projects


Table 4-6: Access Management and Intersection Improvement Projects for Berkeley County

| ID | Location | Delimits | Potential Lanege | Estimated Cost (1000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-01 | Daniel Island Drive | Barfield Street to Fairchild Street | 2 (D) | \$1,229 |
| L-02 | US-176 / St. James Avenue | Old Mt. Holly Road to US-52 / N. Goose Creek Blvd. | 4 (D) | \$5,275 |
| L-03 | US-17A / Live Oak Road | US-176 / St. James Avenue to E. Main Street | 4 (D) | \$19,529 |
| L-04a | US-52 | N. Live Oak Drive to Gaillard Road | 4 (D) | \$9,106 |
| L-04b | US-52 | Central Avenue to Red Bank Road | 6 (D) | \$1,015 |
| L-04c | US-52 | Montague Plantation Road / Old Mt. Holly Road to Oakley Road | 4 (D) | \$11,891 |
| L-09 | College Park Road \& Treeland Drive | - |  | \$4,917 |
| L-11 | Old Highway 52 \& Gaillard Road | - |  | \$2,459 |
| L-16 | US-176 \& Black Tom Road | - |  | \$6,146 |
| L-17 | US-17A \& US-176 | - |  | \$6,146 |
| L-18 | US-52 \& Cypress Gardens Road | - |  | \$1,229 |
| L-19 | US-52 \& Liberty Hall Road | - |  | \$2,459 |

Notes: $D=$ Divided; $U=$ Undivided; $n / a=$ not applicable


## Candidate Projects

- Intersection Improvement
- Access Management Berkeley County Charleston County Dorchester County CHATS Planning Area

Table 4-7: Access Management and Intersection Improvement Projects for Charleston County

| ID | Location | Delimits | Potential Lanege | Estimated Cost (1000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-36 | Broad Street | Lockwood Drive to East Bay Street | 2 (U) | \$2,176 |
| L-37 | East Bay Street | Chapel Street to Hasell Street | 2 (U) | \$2,622 |
| L-38 | Folly Road | Tides End Road to Brantley Drive | 4 (D) | \$8,436 |
| L-39 | Hagood Avenue | Moultrie Street to Fishburne Street | 4 (D) | \$1,171 |
| L-40 | Long Point Road | I-526 to Whipple Road | 4 (D) | \$1,786 |
| L-41 | Mathis Ferry Road | US-17 to l-526 | 2 (D) | \$5,396 |
| L-42 | Old Towne Road | Sam Rittenburg Boulevard to Gunn Avenue | 4 (D) | \$3,503 |
| L-43 | Rutledge Avenue | Peachtree Street to Sumter Street | 4 (D) | \$1,786 |
| L-44 | Sam Rittenberg Boulevard | Old Towne Road to Northbridge Park | 6 (D) | \$1,948 |
| L-45 | US-17 / Savannah Highway | Wesley Drive to l-526 | 6 (D) | \$6,440 |
| L-46 | US-52 / Rivers Avenue | Camelot Drive to Greenridge Road | 8 (D) | \$4,831 |
| L-47 | SC-61 / St. Andrews Boulevard | Wesley Drive to Old Towne Road | 6 (D) | \$19,866 |
| L-48 | SC-61 / Ashley River Road | St. Andrews Boulevard to Paul Cantrell Boulevard | 4 (D) | \$5,176 |
| L-51 | Ben Sawyer Boulevard \& Rifle Range Road | - | - | \$1,844 |
| L-52 | Betsy Kerrison Pkwy. / Bohicket Road \& River Road | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-53 | Coleman Boulevard \& Chuck Dawley Boulevard | - | - | \$29,500 |
| L-54 | Cosgrove Avenue \& Azalea Drive | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-55 | Dorchester Road \& West Hill Boulevard | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-56 | Folly Road \& Wesley Drive | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-57 | IOP Connector \& Rifle Range Road | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-58 | Jasper Boulevard \& Station 22-1/2 Street | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-59 | Jasper Boulevard \& Station 23 Street | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-60 | Magwood Drive \& Ashley Crossing Drive | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-61 | Maybank Highway \& Main Road | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-62 | Maybank Highway \& River Road | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-63 | Maybank Highway \& Riverland Drive | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-64 | Middle Street \& Station 22-1/2 Street | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-65 | Morrison Drive \& Romney Street | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-66 | Morrison Drive \& Grace Bridge Street | - | - | \$1,229 |
| L-67 | Noisette Boulevard \& Virgina Avenue | - | - | \$3,688 |
| L-68 | Remount Road \& Rhett Avenue | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-69 | Rifle Range Road \& Bowman Road | - | - | \$3,688 |
| L-70 | Rifle Range Road \& Venning Road | - | - | \$3,688 |
| L-71 | Rivers Avenue \& Greenridge Road | - | - | \$3,688 |
| L-72 | Rivers Avenue \& Remount Road | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-73 | Sam Rittenberg \& Old Towne Road | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-74 | SC-61 \& Glendale Drive | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-75 | SC-61 \& Magwood Drive | - | - | \$1,844 |

Notes: $D=$ Divided; $U=$ Undivided; $n / a=$ not applicable

Table 4-7: Access Management and Intersection Improvement Projects for Charleston County (cont.)

| ID | Location | Delimits | Potential Lanege | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost (1000s) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-76 | SC-61 \& Shadowmoss Pkwy. | - | - | \$1,844 |
| L-77 | St. Andrews Boulevard \& 5th Avenue | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-78 | US-17 SB Off-Ramp / SC-61 \& Woodward Road | - | - | \$36,500 |
| L-79 | US-17 / Savannah Highway \& Avondale Avenue | - | - | \$3,688 |
| L-80 | US-17 / Savannah Highway \& Carolina Bay Drive | - | - | \$3,688 |
| L-81 | US-17 \& Long Point Road | - | - | \$3,688 |
| L-82 | US-17 \& Porcher's Bluff Road | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-83 | US-17 \& Shelmore Boulevard | - | - | \$1,844 |
| L-84 | US-17 \& Stinson Drive / Dupont Road | - | - | \$1,844 |
| L-85 | US-17 / Savannah Highway \& Wappoo Road | - | - | \$1,844 |
| L-86a | US-17 \& Farmfield Avenue | - | - | \$1,844 |
| L-86b | US-17 \& West Oak Forest Drive | - | - | \$1,844 |
| L-87 | US-78 \& Ladson Road / Ancrum Road | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-88 | US-78 / King Street \& Mt Pleasant Street | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-89a | Magwood Drive \& Paul Cantrell Boulevard | - | 1-/2-Lane Ramps | \$194,000 |
| L-90 | US-17 \& Houston Northcutt Boulevard Intersection | - | 2-Lane Ramps | \$64,583 |

Notes: D = Divided; U=Undivided; $n / a=$ not applicable

Map 4-7: Access Management and Intersection Improvement Projects, Charleston County


## Candidate Projects

- Intersection Improvement
- Access Management Berkeley County Charleston County Dorchester County CHATS Planning Area

Table 4-8: Access Management and Intersection Improvement Projects for Dorchester County (cont.)

| ID | Location | Delimits | Potential Lanege | Estimated Cost (1000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-113 | Old Trolley Road | Dorchester Road to Bacons Bridge Road | 4 (D) | \$6,422 |
| L-114 | SC-61 | Charleston County Line to Bacons Bridge Road | 4 (D) | \$23,593 |
| L-115a | US-17A / North Main Street | Berlin Myers Parkway to US-78 / 5th Street | 4 (D) | \$1,490 |
| L-115b | US-17A / South Main Street | US-78 / 5th Street to Carolina Avenue | 2 (D) | \$3,088 |
| L-118 | Dorchester Road \& Ladson Road | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-119 | Dorchester Road \& Old Trolley Road | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-120 | Ladson Road \& Lincolnville Road | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-121 | Miles Jamison Road \& Gahagan Road | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-122 | Orangeburg Road \& E. Butternut Road / Mallard Road | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-123 | SC-165 \& County Line Road | - | - | \$4,917 |
| L-124 | US-17A \& Central Avenue | - | - | \$2,459 |
| L-125 | US-17A \& Tupperway Drive | - | - | \$6,146 |
| L-126 | Wescott Blvd. \& Patriot Blvd. | - | - | \$4,917 |

Notes: $D=$ Divided; $U=$ Undivided; $n / a=$ not applicable

Map 4-8: Access Management and Intersection Improvement Projects, Dorchester County
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## cross sections

## Recommended Cross Sections

While examining how roadways spread and connect across a region, it is vital to consider how the roadway looks and feels from the perspective of the users. To understand how a roadway appears from the perspective of the users (pedestrians, cyclists, drivers, and transit riders), a cross section is often used to illustrate scale and design features. Cross sections illustrate information such as the number and width of travel lanes, parking lanes, sidewalks, and multiuse paths, and they can illustrate how much programmable space exists within existing and proposed right-of-ways or curb to curb. In looking at proposed laneage, generalized cross sections are used, while specified cross sections were created to illustrate conceptual designs found in the Hot Spots and Corridors section.

In determining the recommended cross sections for each road type, pedestrian and bicycle improvements were considered in addition to roadway strategies. The recommended cross sections are color-coded (in the associated table) to correspond directly to the proposed laneage indicated in the table, with red indicating the 8/10-lane sections and orange the 6-lane sections. For the 2- (blue), 3- (green), and 4-lane (yellow) sections, multiple cross sections are presented to indicate possible pedestrian and bicycle improvements that may accompany the roadway. Final design will determine the ultimate cross section of the roadway. The total right-of-way widths along with an example facility are shown with each cross section.

The potential laneage configurations identified for visionary projects was utilized for the purpose of estimating planning level costs and travel demand modeling performance. More detailed studies will be required through the project development process to confirm planning level assumptions.

## 2-Lane Cross Sections



## 2-Lane Cross Sections (cont.)



## 3-Lane Cross Sections



## 4-Lane Cross Sections



## 4-Lane Cross Sections (cont.)



## 6-Lane Cross Section



## 8- and 10-Lane Cross Sections



8- or 10-Lane, divided roadway with a planted median, curbs, gutters, planted strips, pedestrian level lighting and sidewalks on both sides

## Purpose

Provide examples of how design can promote safety and walkability in various locations around the CHATS planning area.

## hot spots \&

## Concept Designs

It is apparent that large, costly highway projects are becoming less frequent and more controversial every day. Our gas tax dollars are not able to fund projects of significant magnitude. However recently, smaller, more cost-effective projects have been successfully implemented through smaller funding sources like Spot Safety and Hazard Elimination programs. The purpose of this section is to provide a higher level of detail for specific high priority projects through the development of Hot Spot and Corridor Concept Designs (20\% design detail). The intent of the Hot Spot projects is to highlight specific projects within the planning area that were selected by the Study Team and BCDCOG staff as "High Priority."

In turn, the information contained in the concept designs could be used by local champions to lobby for future funding and ultimately, full implementation. In today's environment and with SCDOT's prioritization process, small type projects are less likely to compete at the level of major mobility carrier type projects. This innovative program leverages alternative funding sources to administer and implement smaller type projects.

## US 17 Alternate \& Myers Road

Problem Statement: Opportunity to upgrade intersection to include bicycle and pedestrian amenities and beautification improvements. Surrounding area is primed for development. Current design is very car-centric and there is no shading available.

Design Considerations:

- Limit curb and gutter displacement
- Focus on intersection treatments
- Minimize pedestrian crossing distance


## Recommendations:

- Extend curbing in the northeast quadrant of the Main and Old Summerville Road to provide shorter pedestrian crossing distance and room for shade trees
- Plant medians for pedestrian refuge




## Long Point Road \& US 17 North

Problem Statement: Long Point Road does not line up with SR S-10-1808 or Johnie E Brown Road, creating an offset intersection which leads to traffic operational issues. Opportunity to realign this intersection with Johnie E Brown Road and improve connectivity for all users.

Design Considerations:
■ US Highway 17 is designed for vehicles in this area, however, it becomes a multimodal corridor as it transitions into town

- This area is primed for development
- Free-flow right turn lanes and overall laneage at intersection make it difficult for pedestrians and bicyclists
Recommendations:
- Realign Long Point Road to create an attractive gateway into town
- Remove free-flow right turn, install high visibility crosswalks, planted medians, and pedestrian refuges
- Construct meandering sidepath lined with street trees




# Main Road, Bohicket Road \& Maybank Highway <br> Johns Island 

Problem Statement: This intersection represents the crossroads of two major arterials. The roadways meet at a sharp angle, leading to sight line problems when turning. Combined with multiple commercial driveways, this intersection is problematic for vehicular traffic and unsafe for pedestrians and cyclists.

Design Considerations:
■ Need to address the sharp angle and fast moving right turns

- Access management should be a consideration to limit driver confusion and contact between pedestrians and car travel


## Recommendations:

- Install high visibility crosswalks as well as right turn "pork chops" at intersections to create a safer environment for pedestrian and limit turn radii
- Consolidate multiple drivesways to commercial retial businesses
- Install median islands along Maybank to calm traffic and provide pedestrian refuge
- Construct adequate and ADA compliant sidewalks




## Sol Legare Road, Terns Nest Road \& Folly Road <br> James Island

Problem Statement: The design of this offset intersection may be confusing to travelers as it's difficult to interpret who has the right of way. With surrounding neighborhoods and a grocery store in proximity, pedestrian and bicycle access and safety has become an issue.

Design Considerations:
■ Existing bike lanes along Folly Road must be maintained and considered in final design

- Very little traffic is crossing Sol Legare to/from Terns Nest
- Not all bicyclists, including tourists, feel safe on the bike lanes


## Recommendations:

- Install high visibility crosswalk with pedestrian refuge between offset approaches to intersection
- Construct meandering sidepath and street trees on west side




## Ancrum Road, Ladson Road \& US 78 <br> Ladson

Problem Statement: This area of US Highway 78 experiences heavy traffic and congestion during peak hours. Roadway segment challenged with large skewed intersections, intersections in close proximity to each other, poor access management and limited connectivity.

Design Considerations:

- Clean up access points with driveway consolidation and controlling left turns
- Look for opportunities to decrease pedestrian crossing distance


## Recommendations:

- Construct planted median to guide left turns
- Remove free few right turn and install high visibility crosswalks
- Realign entrance to Bi-Lo to provide adequate separation from intersection

Ancrum Road, Ladson Road \& US 78



## Remount Road \& Rhett Ave

North Charleston

Problem Statement: This busy intersection is surrounded by commercial and light industrial activity. Current design caters to vehicular movements only, creating a dangerous environment for bicyclists and pedestrians.

Design Considerations:
■ With adjacent park, intersection should be redesigned to cater to multi-modal activity

- Lots of roundabout access points and dangerous free-flow movements

Recommendations:
Implement driveway consolidation and plantable medians
Replace free-flow right turns with bulbouts and high visibility crosswalks

- Construct cross access between complimentary uses




## Cosgrove Avenue, Azalea Drive \& Interstate 26 <br> North Charleston

Problem Statement: This section of Cosgrove Avenue is very busy during peak hour travel. Specifically, the Interstate 26 westbound off ramp creates spill back and weaving problems as traffic approaches the Cosgrove Avenue and Azalea Drive intersection.

Design Considerations:

- Inconsistent lane configuration through intersection
- Lane weaving problems from off ramp to left turn lanes going northbound on Azalea Drive
- Dangerous environment for bicyclists and pedestrians

Recommendations:

- Construct access-control medians to limit dangerous movements
- Replace free-flow ramp movement with stop controlled intersection to provide additional stacking
- Redesignate intersection laneage to include two left turn lanes on eastbound Cosgrove Avenue
- Install sidewalks and high visibility crosswalk with pedestrian countdown signals




# River Road, Bohicket Road, Betsy Kerrison Parkway \& <br> Proposed Sea Island Parkway <br> Johns Island 

Problem Statement: The Sea Island Parkway is planned as a multi-modal arterial to provide congestion relief to Bohicket Road. The vision for this facility is a streetscaped 4-Iane divded roadway with planted medians. It's connection to Bohicket is the focus of this concept.

Design Considerations:
Redesign must avoid development and property takings

- River Road will require realignment
- Inclusion of bicycle and pedestrian accommodations

Recommendations: ■ Align the proposed Sea Island Parkway into the existing Betsy Kerrison Parkway, a road of similar width and typology

- Redirect Bohicket Road and River Road to intersect with the parkways at right angles
- Connect these roads at a signalized intersection
- Construct a meandering sidepath
- Include high visibility crosswalks



## Savannah Highway \& Wappoo Road <br> West Ashley

| Problem Statement: | High volume intersection with traffic coming from both directions leading <br> in and out of downtown and residential neighborhoods. Intersection marks |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | the end of the West Ashley Bikeway and lacks safe and visible pedestrian |
| and bike facilities. Problematic free-flow right turn traffic from Southbound |  |
|  | Wappoo headed west. |




## Sam Rittenberg Boulevard \& Orange Grove Road <br> West Ashley

| Problem Statement: | Orange Grove Road has free-flow turns on both approaches to the intersection, making it extremely dangerous for pedestrians to cross. The gas station on the southwest corner has three driveway entries, two very close to the free-flow right turn. Sharp angle of the intersection creates sight line problems, particularly when combined with the free-flow right turns. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Design Considerations: | Sam Rittenberg is a wide, heavily traveled road surrounded by neighborhoods and bordered by retail and office <br> Pedestrians and cyclist should be able to safely cross and travel through this intersection |
| Recommendations: | Close the free-flow turns and shorten the length of roadway for pedestrians to cross <br> - Design corners to accommodate right turn movements of tractor trailers <br> - Add bike lane striping to Orange Grove on both sides of intersection Add paved median to Orange Grove and close excess driveway for gas station Potentially add painted bike lanes through intersections |




## Morrison Drive, Cooper Street \& Lee Street <br> The Peninsula

> Problem Statement: Vital intersection for entering and exiting the Lower Peninsula. East Bay Street becomes the on-ramp to the Ravenel Bridge. A 10 ft multiuse path borders East Bay. Adjacent blocks are used primarily for parking and exit/ entry of side streets make traffic flow and pedestrian crossing hazardous.

| Design Considerations: | - Major bike/ped amenity is inaccessible -- one crossing <br> Vital pump station at the north corner of Lee Street at Morrison <br> Immediate area is prime for redevelopment and park space <br> Site borders the Cooper River Bridge Project <br> Needs traffic calming <br> Better drainage to prevent flooding in the roadway <br> The Cooper Street Bike Plan and Cooper River Bridge Redevelopment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Recommendations: | - Raise Morrison Drive to higher elevation to address stormwater issues High-visibility crosswalks for pedestrians and cyclists <br> Remove turning lane on southbound Morrison to improve bicycle safety and provide drainage space <br> - Add sharrow markings and buffered contra-flow bike lane on Cooper Street, removing parallel parking on onside <br> - Replace parking with angle-in parking |




## Fishburne Street \& Hagood Avenue <br> The Peninsula

| Problem Statement: | North of this intersection is the Citadel. Directly adjacent are older and low-income neighborhoods, parking lots, and areas prime for redevelopment. Area floods often with water left standing in the road for days after heavy rains. Pedestrian traffic here is often families, children, and students walking to school and community center |
| :---: | :---: |
| Design Considerations: | The City's Urban Design Center has released proposed stormwater drainage solutions for this area <br> Area is flanked with schools, a community center, the Citadel, and the Riverdogs Ballpark <br> Creating better connectivity to the WestEdge project |
| Recommendations: | High-visibility crosswalks <br> Potential new roundabout <br> Utilizing the Hagood Green Street plan <br> Planted medians |




## Folly Road, Wesley Drive \& West

Ashley Greenway
West Ashley
Problem Statement: The West Ashley Greenway crosses Folly Road at a problematic intersection. Wesley Drive and Folly Road merge together at a sharp angle before reaching the South Windermere Center. The only place for pedestrians to safely cross is at the Windermere intersection.

Design Considerations:

- The Greenway is a popular amenity with cyclists and pedestrians
- This intersection interrupts the greenway more so than at other crossings
- Neighborhood is very walkable with sidewalks and retail available
- Safer crossings are needed

Recommendations:

- Closing the free-flow right turn lane on Southbound Folly Road as well as along Northbound Folly Road
- High-visibility crosswalks with pedestrian countdowns closer to the Greenway




## Maybank Highway \& Riverland Drive <br> James Island

Problem Statement: Maybank Highway is the connecting thread between Johns Island, James Island, and West Ashley. Maybank and Riverland Drive meet in a large golf course and provide a direct connection to residential neighborhoods. Residents in this area have no safe way to travel as pedestrians or cyclists.

Design Considerations:
Providing pedestrian amenities to promote active transportation

- Creating gateways into adjacent neighborhoods
- Significant and healthy trees along Maybank Highway
- Stormwater drainage at the intersection


## Recommendations: ■ Assumes 10'/11' lane widths.

- Left turn lane on westbound approach requires 150' - 200' length of widening to the north side of Maybank Hwy approximately $6^{\prime}-7{ }^{\prime}$ of additional width
- Context sensitive widening to avoid impacts to mature trees, avoiding the need for additional ROW High-visibility crosswalks with pedestrian countdowns
- Adding a meandering multiuse path behind the treeline and sidewalks to connect the neighborhoods
- Improved pedestrian level lighting




# St. Andrews Blvd, Old Towne Road \& Ashley River Road <br> West Ashley 

| Problem Statement: | Ashley River and Old Towne merge into St. Andrews Boulevard, weaving <br> together 8 lanes of traffic into 5 lanes with painted bike lanes on either side. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | This intersection is designed as an at-grade freeway which caters to vehicles |
| and high speeds. Bike lanes disappear at the intersection. Three streets |  |
| intersect at this intersection, creating a dangerous place for cars, pedestrians, |  |
| and cyclists attempting to cross or travel through this intersection. |  |


St. Andrews Blvd, Old Towne Road \& Ashley River Road



## Calhoun Street \& East Bay Street <br> The Peninsula

| Problem Statement: | A key intersection and corridor in the historic district of downtown <br>  <br> Charleston for vehicular and bicycle/pedestrian traffic. Connects into major <br>  <br> biking corridor that leads to and crosses the Ravenel Bridge. Sidewalks <br> connections are inconsistent in this area and amenities need to be equally <br> accessible for tourists and nearby lower income residents who commute <br> through this area every day. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Design Considerations: $\quad$Two major biking corridors intersect here, with bike share stations located in <br> each direction |  |
| Many destination points nearby that require access to parking or alternative |  |
| transportation accommodations |  |


Calhoun Street \& East Bay Street


## Dorchester Road / SC Highway 642

| Problem Statement: | Dorchester Road serves many functions to the various adjacent communities: <br>  <br> community route, shopping destination, and regional arterial. Future BRT |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | service is planned for this major regional facility. Reproposing the wide |
|  | median will be an important design feature as well as safe bicycle and |
| pedestrian access. |  |
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## Goose Creek Road / US Highway 52

Problem Statement: US 52 (through Goose Creek Road) is primed for greenfield development.
Currently a 4-Lane divided facility with no amenities for bicycle and
pedestrian travel. The corridor also suffers from limited connectivity and
complimentary uses.
Design Considerations:
Plan for 2-Lane collector street connectivity, supposed by future development and redevelopment

- Establish spacing standards for intersecting streets, signals, cross access and driveway curb cuts

Recommendations:
■ Redesign signalized intersections to include crosswalks, lighting, and pedestrian refuges

- Eliminate free-flow right turn lanes
- Install 10' meandering sidepath with streets trees along entire corridor




Problem Statement: US Highway 78 is a multilane facility that connects residential neighborhoods to commercial and institutional development. The corridor continues to have problems with crashes and congestion. Poor access management and a plethora of driveways plague this important corridor.

| Design Considerations: | Improve walkability and bike-ability along corridor <br> Beautification treatments will benefit corridor appearance and speed control Improve connectivity through cross-access and back-door access between complimentary uses |
| :---: | :---: |
| Recommendations: | - Install high visibility crosswalks, pedestrian countdown signals, pedestrian level lighting at intersections of Main Street and Berlin Myers <br> Plant street trees along entire corridor <br> Construct "Pocket Median" at select locations along corridors |






## Rutledge Avenue

Pictured: Grove St to Moultrie St

| Problem Statement: | Along this stretch, the road switches from 1-way to 2-way. Surrounding <br> neighborhood residents prefer the street to return to it's original <br> neighborhood feel. Speeding here has been an issue which is particular <br> dangerous so close to several schools and parks. The area features many <br> small blocks, but few lights and fewer crosswalks. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Design Considerations: $\quad$Residents and students need safe crossing to schools and parks <br>  <br> ■ <br> Needs traffic calming |  |
|  | Cut through traffic needs to be deterred |



Problem Statement: Congestion is a major concern as more residential and commercial growth comes to the islands. The main highway through needs to grow to meet the new demand. The community has been advocating for the protection of the mature tree canopy as well as increased multi-modal connectivity.

## Design Considerations:

- Roadway must be widened to meet increased travel demand
- Residences, businesses, and neighborhoods must retain driveway access
- Connectivity along and around the corridor needs to be improved

Recommendations:

- Roadway must be widened to meet increased travel demand
- Residences, businesses, and neighborhoods must retain driveway access
- Connectivity along and around the corridor needs to be improved
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[^0]:    Average Yearly Delay in hours (2019) 54

    Charleston-North Charleston, SC 58
    Columbia, SC
    44
    Atlanta, GA
    Raleigh, NC
    New York-Newark, NY-NJ-CT
    Note: 494 urban areas in the US and its territories were examined
    Note: 494 urban areas in the US and its territories were examined in this study, the cities listed here were selected to provide regional comparison.

[^1]:    Notes: $D=$ Divided; $U=$ Undivided; $n / a=$ not applicable

[^2]:    Notes: $D=$ Divided; $U=$ Undivided; $n / a=$ not applicable

